

1 **Glenn:** Last year, PHIN partners had an open conversation where the following  
2 thoughts were voiced.

3  
4 **Kim:** NCPHI needs to take the end user into consideration when developing a  
5 product. This can be accomplished by including the end-user in the development  
6 process.

7  
8 **Mark:** PHIN needs to become bi-directional in terms of information-sharing.

9  
10 **Rebecca:** NCPHI should continue its open relationship with partners, but engage  
11 all of CDC. Partners feel there is a lack of coordination and collaboration between  
12 NCPHI and other CDC Centers and that often the Centers are trying to achieve the  
13 same goals, however “the right hand doesn’t know what the left hand is doing”.

14  
15 **Christie:** Building relationships is a cornerstone of informatics.

16  
17 **Glenn:** We were listening. Now, PHIN is taking a new approach to Public Health  
18 Informatics...

19  
20 **Glenn:** The PHIN Communities of Practice

21  
22 **Glenn:** The PHIN Communities of Practice Council will convene to help set  
23 strategy, identify priorities, and make decisions regarding the PHIN Communities  
24 of Practice. Composed of representatives from each PHIN Community of Practice,  
25 the Council will work to identify collaboration opportunities among communities,  
26 set priorities, suggest improvements where appropriate, and convey the  
27 Community’s concerns, suggestions, and questions to the Council.

**Kim:** The term "Community of Practice" is a relatively new term, even though the phenomenon it refers to is age-old. The CoP concept provides a useful perspective on knowledge-sharing and learning and a growing number of people and organizations in various sectors are now focusing on communities of practice.

Communities of Practice are groups of people who share a concern or a passion for something they do, and learn how to do it better as they interact regularly.

A Community of Practice has three crucial characteristics:

Domain

Community

Practice

***The Domain:*** A Community of Practice is not merely a club of friends or a network of connections between people. It has an identity defined by a shared domain of interest. Membership implies a commitment to the domain, and therefore a shared competence that distinguishes members from non-members.

***The Community:*** In pursuing interests in a domain, members engage in joint activities and discussions, share information, and build relationships that enable them to learn from one another. A website in itself is not a community of practice. Having the same job or the same title does not make for a community of practice unless members interact and learn together. The Impressionists, for instance, met in cafes and studios to discuss a particular style of painting. These interactions were essential in creating a community of practice even though they often painted alone.

***The Practice:*** A Community of Practice is not merely a community of interest; members of a community of practice are practitioners who develop a shared repertoire of resources over a period of time and through sustained interaction. It is the combination of these three elements--Domain, Community and Practice--that constitutes a community of practice. It is by developing these three elements in parallel that one cultivates such a community.

**Mark:** A Community of Practice can provide a domain of knowledge that is more stable and enduring than any individual project, task or challenge. Communities of practice are neutral zones - separate from the pressures of everyday work. They are a place where members can offer advice on a project without any risk of becoming embroiled in it, where members can read advice and choose to ignore it without causing offence. It is a place to think, reflect, learn and generate ideas. For individuals who may not know which team they will join next, a community of like-minded professionals can act as an anchor. It is an identifiable space where they can connect with other practitioners, refresh their thinking and continue their professional development.

Individuals find they have help with new or unfamiliar challenges, access to expertise, and the reassurance that comes from finding that others have wrestled with the same or similar problems. They also find they have a new and meaningful way to participate, as well as a sense of belonging to a field of growing expertise. In the long term they have a forum in which they can develop their skills and knowledge, benefit from others' experience, network to stay abreast of new ideas and enhance their own professional reputation.

Organizations that create or join a Community of Practice have a new arena for solving issues and gain access to a much wider range of perspectives on those issues. They also have a quick source of answers to questions, all of which reduce time and costs and improve the quality of decisions made. In the longer term, they increase their ability to build and retain talent and find they have newfound capacity for starting or participating in knowledge-development projects. They have a means to benchmark how their own processes and skill-base compares with others and are able to tap into new capabilities and ensure they stay at the forefront of relevant developments.

Communities of Practice benefit public health by supporting and promoting key national initiatives, linking geographically dispersed practitioners, increasing government efficiency and allowing for the promotion of standards.

**Rebecca:** Communities of Practice leverage the processes of social learning and shared practices that emerge and evolve when people with a common goal interact and strive to achieve their goals together. CoPs are working to bring the PHIN community together and strengthen this alliance as members collaborate, share, and focus on issues prioritized by the community.

**Christie:** The PHIN CoP Structure contains several related groups including the PHIN Community, the PHIN Communities of Practice, and the Community of Practice Program.

All current PHIN members are part of the PHIN Community—as shown in the blue circle. Community members gather into a number of communities of practice—as shown in the white circles—and focus on various functional and technical aspects of PHIN, such as electronic laboratory reporting or outbreak management. These communities are supported by the Communities of Practice Program located within the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention / National Center for Public Health Informatics and provides the leadership and resources needed to support the PHIN Community and Communities of Practice.

**Kim:** In accordance with PHIN’s commitment to accountability and scientific excellence, the PHIN Communities of Practice will use evaluations to determine if the implementation of PHIN and its initiatives are improved by the use of Communities of Practice. The evaluation framework will employ a mixed method approach examining both quantitative and qualitative data guided by a Theory of Change Methodology. The Theory of Change Methodology analyzes desired or expected outcomes based in theory, and compares them to real outcomes. The evaluation framework analyzes change over time by studying time-phased measurements.

**Glenn:** You asked for a more transparent, collaborative PHIN that communicates in all directions.

You asked for a chance to provide input to PHIN projects at all stages of development.

You asked that relationships be valued as a cornerstone of public health informatics.

You spoke.

We listened.

PHIN Communities of Practice.